## (2.3)-WITTIG SIGMATROPIC REARRANGEMENTS IN STEROID SYNTHESIS. NEW STEREOCONTROLLED APPROACH TO STEROIDAL SIDE CHAINS AT C-20

L. Castedo. J.R. Granja and A. Mourifto Departamento de Qulmica Organica. Facultad de Quimica y C.S.I.C. (Seccibn de Alcaloidesj. Santiago de Compostela. Spain

Summary: A new method which is based on (2,3)-Wittig sigmatropic rearrangements for the stereocontrolled synthesis of functionalized three-carbon side chains on the basic tetracyclic steroidal system is described.

Claisen type rearrangements have been successfully used for the stereocontrolled introduction of steroidal side chains.<sup>1</sup> We wish to report here an efficient and closely related alternative that relies on a primary a-oxycarbanion-induced (2,3)-Wittig sigmatropic rearrangement as the key step for the stereospecific synthesis of three-carbon side chains suitably functionalized for further elaborations. This reaction, which generally shows high stereoselectivity for Z-trisubstituted olefins in acyclic systems through a pseudoaxially substituted S-member transition state, remains largely unexplored ,in rigid systems.2



The starting materials for this study are the known compounds 1a and 1b.<sup>1a</sup> These compounds were separately converted (in 89% and 85% yield) to the stannyl ether derivatives 1c and  $1d<sup>3</sup>$  respectively by reaction with potassium hydride (1.5 equiv, THF, RT, 3h) followed by the addition of iodomethyltributyltin<sup>4</sup> (1 equiv, RT, 1h) and flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes).<sup>5</sup> Treatment of a THF solution of stannyl derivative  $1c$  at -78 <sup>o</sup>C with 1.1 equiv of n-butyllithium followed by stirring for 15 min afforded, after quenching with methanol at the same temperature, removal of solvents, extractive work-up (ether) and flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes), the homoallylic 20S-alcohol 2a (colourless oil, natural stereochemistry) in 83% yield. Under similar conditions, the n-butyllithium-induced rearrangement on 1d afforded homoallylic 20R-alcohol 2b (colourless oil, unnatural stereochemistry) in 70% yield. Neither 2a nor 2b showed cross contamination to any detectable extent on the basis of an examination of their NMR spectra<sup>6</sup> and TLC. Alternatively, we were able to obtain compounds  $2a$ and  $2b$  separately in 85% and 65% yield respectively in one pot reaction from 1a and 1b following a similar protocol and without isolating the corresponding stannyl intermediates 1c and 1d.

In order to prove the structures of the synthesized homoallylic alcohols, especially their respective configurations at C-20, the two compounds were separately hydrogenated (5% Pd-C, EtOAc, 10 PSI, 5h) to give 3a and 3b in 92% and 85% yield respectively. These synthetic alcohols were identical in all respects (250 MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, 62.83% MHz <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, IR, mass spectra and TLC) with authentic samples prepared by sodium borohydride reduction on aldehydes  $3c$  and  $3d$ .

To summarize, these results provide a remarkably simple method for stereocontrolled synthesis of steroidal C-20 stereochemistries via (2,3)-Wittig sigmatropic rearrangements under mild conditions. The synthesized alcohols and other related analogues should be of considerable value in a wide variety of transformations in the steroid field including seco-steroids. Applications of (2,3)-Wittig type sigmatropic rearrangements to the synthesis of vitamin D metabolite side chains<sup>8</sup> are in progress.

Acknowledgements: We are grateful to the Comisión Asesora de Investigación Científica (CAICYT) for financial support and to Hoffmann Ia Roche (Basel) for generous gifts of steroidal precursors of starting materials and aldehyde 3c. J.R. Granja thanks the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science for the grant of a fellowship.

## **References and Notes**

- 1. (a) M. Tanabe and K. Hayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 862 (1980); (b) K. Mikami, K. Kawamoto and T. Nakai, Chem. Lett., 115 (1985). For general reviews on the synthesis of steroidal side chains see: c) D.M. Piatak and J. Wicha. Chem. Rev., 78, 199 (1978); (d) J. Redpath and F. Zeelen, Chem. Soc. Rev., 12, 75 (1983).
- 2. (a) R.W. Hoffmann, Angew, Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 18, 563 (1979); (b) W.C. Still and A. Mitra, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 1927 (1978); (c) W.C. Still, J.H. McDonald, D.B. Collum and A. Mitra, Tetrahedron Lett., 593 (1979); (d) D.G. Farnum and T. Monego, Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 1361 (1983).
- 3. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (250 MHz, CDC1<sub>3</sub>, 8), <u>1c</u>: 5.49 (1H, dq, J=7.3 Hz, C=CH), 3.88-3.84 (1H, m, CHOR), 3.7 (2H, ABq, J=10.6 Hz, J=33.7 Hz, OCH<sub>2</sub>Sn), 1.72 (3H, dd, J=1.2 Hz, J=7.3 Hz, C<sub>21</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>); <u>1d</u>: 5.28 (1H, dq, J=1.9 Hz, 1.66 (3H, dd, J=0.9 Hz, J=6.8 Hz, C<sub>21</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>).
- 4. Reagent prepared as per D. Seyferth and S.B. Andrews, J. Organometal. Chem., 30, 151 (1971).
- 5. For previous use of this methodology, see ref. 2b.
- 6. 2a, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, significant signals: 5.44 (1H, m, CH=CH), 3.65-3.50 (3H, m, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 1.04 (3H, d, J=7.0 Hz, C<sub>21</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.86 (3H, s, C<sub>18</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (62.83 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>,8): 157.9; 122.8; 82.3; 66.5; 57.4; 56.6 m/e 344 (M+). 2b, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 5.46 (1H, m, C=CH), 3.56-3.40 (3H, 2 m centred at 3.52 and 3.43, CHHOH), 1.11 (3H, d, J=7.0 Hz, C<sub>21</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.84 (3H, s, C<sub>18</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 157.5; 124.0; 82.3; 66.7; 57.1; 56.6; 48.6; 47.5; 43.5; 35.3; 35.2; 35.1; 34.6; 33.1; 31.2; 29.1; 24.8; 22.3; 21.3; 19.1; 18.0; 16.6; 13.0. m/e 344 (M+).
- 7. The aldehyde 3c (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 9.58 (1H, d, J=3.2 Hz, CHO), 1.13 (3H, d, J=6.8 Hz, C<sub>21</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.77 (3H, s, C<sub>18</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>)) was easily converted to the alcohol 3a by direct NaBH<sub>4</sub> reduction in methanol. The epimeric alcohol 3b was prepared by the following sequence: epimerization of 3c (s-collidine, reflux, argon, 40h), conventional isolation and medium-pressure LC separation (EtOAc/hexanes) afforded the epimeric aldehyde 3d (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 9.54 (1H, d, J=5.02 Hz, CHO), 1.04 (3H, d, J=3.16 Hz, C<sub>21</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.73 (3H, s, C<sub>18</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>)) in 50% yield. This aldehyde was easily reduced to alcohol 3b as above. Alcohols<br>3a and 3b are clearly distinguished by NMR. 3a: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 3.66-3.34 (2H, 2ABq centred at 3.36,<br>3a and 3b are clear 30.3; 27.6; 24.8; 24.1; 22.6; 21.3; 19.1; 16.6; 12.9; 12.1. m/e 346 (M+). 3b: <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 3.80-3.40 (2H, 2ABq centred at 3.72, J=10.6 Hz, J=3.5 Hz and 3.46, J=10.6 Hz, J=6.9 Hz, CHHOH), 0.96 (3H, d, J=6.7 Hz, C<sub>21</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.74 (3H, s, C<sub>18</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub>), <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 82.3; 66.8; 56.4; 56.3; 52.6; 48.0; 43.3; 42.5; 39.6; 37.8; 35.2; 35.0; 33.3; 30.4; 27.5; 24.8; 23.9; 22.6; 21.4; 19.1; 16.5; 13.0; 12.5. m/e 346 (M+).
- 8. For recent work in this area see: (a) J. Sardina, A. Mouriño and L. Castedo, Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 4480, (1983); (b) Findings presented at the Six Workshop on Vitamin-D. Merano (Italy), 1985. Vitamin D: Chemical, Biochemical and Clinical Update. Walter de Gruyter. 1985.

(Received in UK 9 August 1985)